## <u>REMARKS</u>

As a preliminary matter, Applicants appreciate the time and courtesy extended by the Examiner during the December 17 2008 telephone interview. During the interview, it was clarified that the Japanese document "Visualizing Terminal Screen: Part II, Support Tool for Visualization," which was originally submitted with the October 20, 2008 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), also included a full English translation (including a translation of the drawing figures and charts, without adding additional information). Accordingly, in order to clarify this matter, enclosed is a corrected Form PTO-1449 that now recites that the above-named document also includes a full English translation. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider the above-named document, and that the Examiner indicate such consideration by initialing the appropriate portion of the corrected Form PTO-1449.

Further, Applicants would also like to clarify that the other document submitted with the October 20, 2008 IDS was a Communication issued from the Japanese Patent Office, along with an English translation thereof. This document was mentioned in the third to last full paragraph of page 1 of the IDS (and not on the Form PTO-1449). As this document was not listed on the Form PTO-1449, no acknowledgement of consideration of this document is requested.

Additionally, during the telephone interview with the Examiner, the Examiner indicated that a copy of the November 12, 2008 Ex parte Quayle Office Action was missing

from the Patent Office's electronic file of this application. Enclosed herewith is a complete and accurate copy of the November 12, 2008 Ex parte Quayle Office Action, including a complete and accurate copy of the original Form PTO-1449 with the line drawn by the Examiner. As only a single document appears to be un-locatable by the USPTO, only that document is being provided, and the other formal provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.251 do not need to be satisfied. See MPEP §508.04 ("37 CFR 1.251 generally applies only to situations in which the file of an application or patent (not just certain documents) is unlocatable. When a document is missing from an application, Office practice is to call the applicant's representative and request submission (generally by facsimile) of a copy of the missing document. While the Office will generally treat missing documents in this relatively informal manner (rather than issuing a notice under 37 CFR 1.251), the Office may issue a notice under 37 CFR 1.251 to obtain a copy of a missing document if the Office's informal attempts to obtain a copy of the document are unsuccessful."). Thus, as Applicants have provided a copy of the necessary document, a notice under 37 C.F.R. §1.251 should not be required.

Should the Examiner be of the opinion that any outstanding issues exist, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney.

If a Petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) for an extension of time for response is required to make the attached response timely, it is hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a) for an extension of time for response in the above-identified application for the

period required to make the attached response timely. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge fees which may be required to this application under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-2069.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

By

James K. Folker

Registration No. 37,538

January 5, 2009

Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 360-0080

Customer No. 24978 P:\DOCS\3169\68793\DV2606.DOC